

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/830,360 | 04/25/2001 | Yasushi Inagaki | P 278095 | 4954 | |
| 75 | 590 07/30/2003 | | | | |
| PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | DINH, T | DINII, TUAN T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2827 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/830,360 | INAGAKI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Tuan T Dinh | 2827 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M | <u>fay 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 and 16-73 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>110</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>rogarded sojected to:</u> 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: . | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 4 recites the limitation "a plurality of capacitors" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunahara (U. S. Patent 6,153,290) in view of Ehman et al. (U. S. Patent 6,021,050), and further in view of Lauffer et al. (U. S. Patent 5,027,253).

As best understood to claims 1, 4, and 6-10, Sunahara discloses a printed circuit board as shown in figures 1-4 constituted by alternately laminating interlayer insulating layers (9, column 5, line 30) and conductive circuits (13-18, column 5, lines 34-35) on a core substrate (1, column 5, line 29) containing a capacitor (10, column 5, line 32),

the core substrate (1), containing said capacitor (10) mounted on a surface of the PCB, is constituted by providing a first substrate (2g, 3g),

Art Unit: 2827

a second substrate (4g-7g) having an opening (29, 4-figure 4) for containing the capacitor and a third substrate (8g) in a multilayer manner while interposing bonding plates.

Sunahara does not disclose first, second, and third substrates made of resin material. Ehman shows multilayer printed circuit board (10) having three layers (12, 14, and 16) made of polyamide resin material (column 2, lines 45-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use resin layers as taught by Ehman to employ the PCB of Sunahara in order to provide a reliable and flexibility of the PCB.

Sunahara and Ehman do not teach the capacitor is located immediately below the IC chip.

Lauffer shows a multiplayer package (101-figure 2) comprising a capacitor (141) immediately located below an IC chip (235).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a capacitor is located immediately below an IC chip as taught by Lauffer to employ the package of Sunahara and Ehman for purpose of reducing wiring inductance and power supply noise of the package..

As to claims 2, 3, Sunahara discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention, except for each of said first, second and third resin substrates having a core impregnated with a resin.

Ehman shows three layers (12, 14, and 16) made of epoxy resin impregnated. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2827

was made to use resin impregnated layers as taught by Ehman to employ the PCB of Sunahara in order to provide a coefficient of thermal expansion mismatch and flexure of the PCB.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim05/02/03 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2827

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD July 24, 2003.

DAVID L. TALBOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5